

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

<p>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE</p> <p>4 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,) and HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL) 5 PROPERTY, INC.,) 6 Plaintiffs,) 7 vs.) No. 99-309 (GMS) 8 HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION,) 9 Defendant.)</p> <p>DEPOSITION OF PETER JOHN SUTTIE San Diego, California Tuesday, October 24, 2000 Volume III</p> <p>Reported by: 24 JESSICA E. MASSE CSR No. 9910 25 JOB No. 16831</p> <p>337</p>	<p>1 APPEARANCES: 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 KIRKLAND & ELLIS BY: VICKIE REZNIK 4 Attorney at Law 153 East 53rd Street 5 New York, New York 10022 (212) 446-4695 6 7 For the Defendant: 8 MARSHALL, O'TOOLE, GERSTEIN, MURRAY & BORUN BY: WILLIAM E. MCCracken -and- 9 THOMAS A. MILLER 10 Attorneys at Law 233 South Wacker Drive 11 6300 Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 474-6300 12 13 Also Present: 14 RICHARD H. KOSAKOWSKI 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p>339</p>																																																																														
<p>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE</p> <p>4 HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.,) and HONEYWELL INTELLECTUAL) 5 PROPERTY, INC.,) 6 Plaintiffs,) 7 vs.) No. 99-309 (GMS) 8 HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION,) 9 Defendant.)</p> <p>Deposition of PETER JOHN SUTTIE, Volume III, taken on behalf of Plaintiffs, at 501 West Broadway, Suite 1300, San Diego, California, beginning at 9:18 a.m. and ending at 5:11 p.m. on Tuesday, October 24, 2000, before JESSICA E. MASSE, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 9910.</p> <p>338</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">INDEX</th> <th>EXAMINATION</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>WITNESS:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>PETER JOHN SUTTIE</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Volume III</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>BY MS. REZNIK</td> <td>342</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>7</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>10</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>11</td> <td>EXHIBITS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>12</td> <td>PLAINTIFFS'</td> <td>PAGE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>13</td> <td>229 Honeywell's third notice of deposition</td> <td>343</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14</td> <td>230 Coordination memo dated 1/19/93</td> <td>361</td> </tr> <tr> <td>15</td> <td>231 Coordination memo dated 2/5/93</td> <td>362</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16</td> <td>232 Coordination memo dated 1/29/93</td> <td>366</td> </tr> <tr> <td>17</td> <td>233 Coordination memo dated 12/15/92</td> <td>373</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18</td> <td>234 Coordination memo dated 10/13/93</td> <td>379</td> </tr> <tr> <td>19</td> <td>235 Coordination memo dated 12/1/93</td> <td>386</td> </tr> <tr> <td>20</td> <td>236 Coordination memo dated 12/7/93</td> <td>388</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21</td> <td>237 Software problem report</td> <td>391</td> </tr> <tr> <td>22</td> <td>238 ECB S/W test reports</td> <td>392</td> </tr> <tr> <td>23</td> <td>239 Memo dated 2/1/93</td> <td>398</td> </tr> <tr> <td>24</td> <td>240 DA meeting, Hamburg, August 12-13, 1993</td> <td>399</td> </tr> <tr> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>340</p>	INDEX		EXAMINATION	1	WITNESS:		2	PETER JOHN SUTTIE		3	Volume III		4	BY MS. REZNIK	342	5			6			7			8			9			10			11	EXHIBITS		12	PLAINTIFFS'	PAGE	13	229 Honeywell's third notice of deposition	343	14	230 Coordination memo dated 1/19/93	361	15	231 Coordination memo dated 2/5/93	362	16	232 Coordination memo dated 1/29/93	366	17	233 Coordination memo dated 12/15/92	373	18	234 Coordination memo dated 10/13/93	379	19	235 Coordination memo dated 12/1/93	386	20	236 Coordination memo dated 12/7/93	388	21	237 Software problem report	391	22	238 ECB S/W test reports	392	23	239 Memo dated 2/1/93	398	24	240 DA meeting, Hamburg, August 12-13, 1993	399	25		
INDEX		EXAMINATION																																																																													
1	WITNESS:																																																																														
2	PETER JOHN SUTTIE																																																																														
3	Volume III																																																																														
4	BY MS. REZNIK	342																																																																													
5																																																																															
6																																																																															
7																																																																															
8																																																																															
9																																																																															
10																																																																															
11	EXHIBITS																																																																														
12	PLAINTIFFS'	PAGE																																																																													
13	229 Honeywell's third notice of deposition	343																																																																													
14	230 Coordination memo dated 1/19/93	361																																																																													
15	231 Coordination memo dated 2/5/93	362																																																																													
16	232 Coordination memo dated 1/29/93	366																																																																													
17	233 Coordination memo dated 12/15/92	373																																																																													
18	234 Coordination memo dated 10/13/93	379																																																																													
19	235 Coordination memo dated 12/1/93	386																																																																													
20	236 Coordination memo dated 12/7/93	388																																																																													
21	237 Software problem report	391																																																																													
22	238 ECB S/W test reports	392																																																																													
23	239 Memo dated 2/1/93	398																																																																													
24	240 DA meeting, Hamburg, August 12-13, 1993	399																																																																													
25																																																																															

1 (Pages 337 to 340)

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES
619-233-0633 800-829-6159

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1	EXHIBITS (Continued.)	
2		
3	241	Handwritten document dated 6/9/93 401
4	242	APS 3200 engineering report 404
5	243	Coordination memo dated 4/16/93 405
6	244	Coordination memo dated 11/2/93 407
7	245	Handwritten document dated 10/26/93, with attachments 409
8	246	Memo dated 1/11/93, with attachments 414
9	247	Memo dated 4/5/93, with attachments 420
10	248	Two-page document from Edelman 421
11	249	Memo dated 3/23/93, with attachments 426
12	250	Memo dated 3/4/93, with attachments 427
13	251	ESR 0677 429
14	252	APS 3200 pneumatic compatibility test results 443
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		341

1 witness?

2 MR. MCCracken: Yes. That's correct.

3 BY MS. REZNIK:

4 Q I'm handing Mr. Suttie what's been marked as

5 Exhibit 229. Do you recognize this document?

6 A No.

7 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 229 was marked for

8 identification by the court reporter.)

9 BY MS. REZNIK:

10 Q Okay. This document is Honeywell's third

11 notice of deposition, the one we just referred to, which

12 lists the topics that you'll be testifying about today.

13 Are you prepared to testify about topics 2, 3, and 4

14 listed in Exhibit 229 today, Mr. Suttie?

15 A Can you give me a second to look at them?

16 Q Sure.

17 A This would be considered topic 2?

18 Q Yes. Starting on the second page.

19 A 3 and 4?

20 Q Yes.

21 A Yes. I'm prepared to discuss and testify on

22 the topics.

23 Q On topics 2, 3, and 4?

24 A 2, 3, and 4.

25 Q Do you understand that your testimony today on

343

1 San Diego, California, Tuesday, October 24, 2000

2 9:18 a.m. - 5:11 p.m.

3

4 PETER JOHN SUTTIE,

5 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified

6 as follows:

7

8 EXAMINATION

9 BY MS. REZNIK:

10 Q Could you please state your name for the

11 record.

12 A Peter John Suttie.

13 Q Have you testified in this case before?

14 A Yes.

15 Q In what capacity?

16 A I was asked in the capacity -- I think I

17 answered the question -- for myself. I testified as an

18 individual.

19 Q Okay. Am I correct, Mr. Suttie -- let me

20 direct this to your counsel.

21 Am I correct that the topics being covered

22 today by Mr. Suttie are found in Honeywell's notice of

23 deposition dated June 27, with the sole exception of

24 topic 1, which will be dealt with separately by Hamilton

25 Sundstrand's interrogatories and possibly a different

342

1 these topics, 2, 3, and 4, is being given on behalf of

2 the Hamilton Sundstrand Corporation?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Do you feel you are the most knowledgeable

5 person at Sundstrand regarding these three topics?

6 A Yes.

7 Q What did you do to prepare to testify today?

8 A I discussed these questions with my counsel

9 yesterday.

10 Q Did you talk to anyone else other than counsel?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Who did you talk to?

13 A I talked to Malcolm McArthur, to Wendell Reed,

14 Paul Hilgeman.

15 Q Anyone else?

16 A That is all.

17 Q Who is Malcolm McArthur?

18 A He is now a contract consultant to Hamilton

19 Sundstrand. At the time of the APS 3200 development, he

20 was my supervisor.

21 Q Is he currently working at Sundstrand?

22 A Yes. In a contract, part-time capacity.

23 Q Do you know when he began working at

24 Honeywell -- at Sundstrand, rather?

25 A When he worked as a full-time employee?

34

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

Q Yes.

A In 1984.

Q When did Mr. McArthur end his employment with Sundstrand?

A I don't know. I'm not -- I wasn't prepared to answer that question today.

Q What was Mr. McArthur's position when he was working at Sundstrand?

A He was laterally manager of systems and controls.

Q What were his responsibilities as your supervisor? You said he was your supervisor.

A He had multiple positions. He started off as the systems engineer. When I knew him, he was my immediate supervisor as manager of the systems department. He then became a manager of all systems and controls.

So is your question what were his responsibilities when he was my supervisor?

Q Yes.

A He was the manager of the systems organization and responsible for a group of eight -- five to eight people -- it varied from time to time -- who were doing systems development work.

Q So when he was your supervisor at Sundstrand,

345

Q The first paragraph we'll call topic 2.

A Okay.

Q The second paragraph we'll call topic 3, and the last paragraph we'll call topic 4.

A Okay.

Q So this will make it easier for us to understand what we are talking about. Now, can we -- let me go back to the question. You talked to Mr. McArthur, then, about topic 2 in Exhibit 229?

A Yes.

Q Is it your understanding that Mr. McArthur is the most knowledgeable person about topic 2?

A No.

MR. MCCracken: Objection. That question has been asked and answered. The witness testified that he was the most knowledgeable.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Why is it that you talked to Mr. McArthur about topic 2?

A Because the situation was a number of years ago, and I was just talking to other people who were involved to ensure that my recollection was accurate, and that I had not omitted anything in my recollection.

Q Did Mr. McArthur help you understand the information sought in topic 2?

347

did he have the primary responsibility for the control system of the APS 3200?

MR. MCCracken: Counsel, I'm going to object at this point. I'd like to have a standing objection at this time. This is outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice. For that reason, I think you are exceeding the scope. We will, of course, attempt to cooperate and to give you what you would like to get out of this, but we would ask that you please stick within the parameters of what the court order is.

MS. REZNIK: I understand your objection, but I believe I'm just asking foundation questions because he cited these various individuals.

Q When you spoke with Mr. McArthur, do you remember the substance of your conversation?

A Yes.

Q What specifically did you talk about in preparation for this deposition today?

A We were talking about item 1 of these three paragraphs.

Q By "item 1," you mean topic 2 that we've identified in Exhibit 229? Let's clarify this so it's easy. Let me have the exhibit for a second. On page 2 of Exhibit 229 there are three topics, correct, here?

A Three paragraphs at the top of the page, yes.

346

A Yes, he did.

Q And that is because he had particular knowledge about topic 2 that you felt you could get from him; is that correct?

A In any memory activity, it's useful for people who were involved to discuss the subject to prompt potential recollections in others. Though my recollection was greater than his, he provided some useful reminders to me of things that had not immediately come to my recollection.

Q You also mentioned Wendell Reed; correct?

A Correct.

Q Is Mr. Reed a current employee of Sundstrand?

A No.

Q When did he end his employment with Sundstrand?

A I'm not prepared to answer that. I don't know.

Q What is it that you talked about with Mr. Reed in preparation for today?

A Item 2.

Q So that would be topic 2. The same you spoke about with Mr. McArthur?

A Topic 2, yes.

Q Okay. You also mentioned a Paul Hilgeman, H-i-l-g-e-m-a-n.

A Correct.

348

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 Q What did you discuss with Mr. Hilgeman in
2 preparation for the deposition today?
3 A Topic 2.
4 Q Did you speak to anyone about topic 3 in
5 preparation for the deposition today?
6 A My counsel.
7 Q No one other than counsel?
8 A No.
9 Q Did you speak to anyone about topic 4 in
10 preparation for the deposition today, other than your
11 counsel?
12 A No.
13 Q Are you familiar with an individual by the name
14 of Terry Maedche, spelled M-a-e-d-c-h-e?
15 A Yes.
16 Q How are you familiar with him?
17 A He worked for Sundstrand.
18 Q Do you know when he began his employment at
19 Sundstrand?
20 A On December 18th, 1992.
21 Q Do you know if he is still employed at
22 Sundstrand?
23 A Yes. I know.
24 Q Is he still employed at Sundstrand?
25 A No.

349

1 control system had already been completed. We were in
2 the process of testing it to make sure that it worked
3 correctly, adequately, met the customer specification
4 requirement, met our internal specification requirement.
5 So he was involved in some test activity. He also, as I
6 think I mentioned previously, was present in Toulouse,
7 France for the flight test campaign.
8 Q All of his activities were related to the APS
9 3200; is that correct?
10 A I'm not prepared to answer that.
11 Q The testing activity that you were describing,
12 that was related to the APS 3200; correct?
13 A Correct.
14 Q You testified that he was a systems engineer;
15 is that correct?
16 A Correct.
17 Q Was he always a systems engineer, or did he
18 hold other titles at Sundstrand?
19 MR. MCCracken: I'm going to object to this
20 line of questioning. These questions have been asked
21 and answered at his previous deposition, and if we are
22 going over old ground, that is not what the court
23 ordered us to do, to go over old ground, obviously.
24 However, we are trying to be cooperative here and give
25 you what the court has ordered. We would like to ask

351

1 Q When did he end his employment at Sundstrand?
2 A I was not prepared to answer that question.
3 Q You previously testified that you believed
4 Mr. Maedche may have ended his employment in '94. Does
5 that sound familiar?
6 A I -- I remember previously testifying that
7 that's what I thought, yes, from an individual point of
8 view.
9 Q I understand. Are you aware that he used to
10 work for AlliedSignal or Garrett, now known as
11 Honeywell?
12 A Yes.
13 Q Are you aware that he used to work on
14 AlliedSignal's, now Honeywell's, APUs?
15 A I was not prepared to answer that question.
16 Q So you are saying you are not aware whether or
17 not he worked on Honeywell's APUs?
18 A I have not verified the information to provide
19 it to you right now as known to be accurate to me.
20 Q What were Mr. Maedche's general
21 responsibilities at Sundstrand when he was employed at
22 Sundstrand?
23 A He was a control systems engineer.
24 Q What did he do as a control systems engineer?
25 A In the time frame he joined us, the APS 3200

350

1 for your cooperation in not going over old ground and
2 asking the same questions and getting the same answers.
3 MS. REZNIK: I understand your objection. I
4 believe I'm just trying to lay the foundation for
5 discussing the topics identified in the deposition
6 notice, in Exhibit 229, and that's really the only
7 basis -- the only reason that I'm going into these
8 questions, and I don't intend to go much beyond that.
9 MR. MCCracken: If it would be helpful to refer
10 to his previous deposition transcript in order to do
11 that, we would -- we would ask that you consider that
12 possibility as well.
13 BY MS. REZNIK:
14 Q Did Mr. Maedche have any other responsibilities
15 other than the testing activity on the APS 3200 as a
16 systems engineer at Sundstrand? Let me rephrase the
17 question, actually. Did Mr. Maedche, as a systems
18 engineer at Sundstrand, have any other responsibilities
19 other than the testing activity on the APS 3200?
20 MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous.
21 THE WITNESS: As I mentioned previously, he was
22 supporting our flight test campaign in Toulouse.
23 BY MS. REZNIK:
24 Q The testing activity on the APS 3200 that you
25 are referring to included the testing of the surge

352

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

control system of the APS 3200; correct?

A Yes.

Q Did it also include the testing activity of the fuel control system of the APS 3200?

A Not as I recall, no.

Q What types of testing activity on the APS 3200 did Mr. Maedche take a role in?

A He supported some transient load tests which we did on the APU. By support, I mean a test engineer ran the test. There was a crew of people to run the test. He was the systems engineer asking for the specific test to be run, and he would analyze the data retrieved.

Q Is that the only testing activity on the APS 3200 you are saying he was involved in?

A As I recall, yes.

Q Mr. Suttie, I'm handing you what's been previously marked as Exhibit 69. Do you have this document in front of you?

A I have a document marked Exhibit 69, Edelman.

Q And is this coordination memo between Sundstrand and Turbomeca Exhibit 69? Let me rephrase it. Is Exhibit 69 a coordination memo between Sundstrand and Turbomeca?

A It's a coordination memo from Turbomeca.

Q Who is the coordination memo to?

353

Therefore, it is encompassed within topic 4.

MR. MCCracken: I simply fail to understand your reasoning on that. It says Turbomeca, Labinal, and their respective employees and agents. Are you saying APIC is an agent or employee of Turbomeca and Labinal?

MS. REZNIK: That's what I'd like to know and question the witness about.

MR. MCCracken: Well, the witness has already stated that he doesn't have that knowledge. That question was asked and answered of other witnesses, I believe.

MS. REZNIK: None of those witnesses were 30(b)(6) witnesses.

MR. MCCracken: I don't see how this relates to the 30(b)(6) notice. I just don't understand it. In fact, this witness was asked about the role of Turbomeca and APIC in his previous deposition. We have chapter/verse citations for you: Page 23, line 10, through page 25, line 7, in one place in his previous deposition.

Could we go off the record for a moment?

MS. REZNIK: Sure.

(Discussion off the record.)

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Looking at Exhibit 69 in front of you,

355

A Steve Gates, Peg Martens, and Alberto Ducrocq.

Q Are these individuals at Sundstrand?

A No.

Q So who is this coordination memo to, in a general sense?

A Not all these individuals. At Sundstrand is Steve Gates and Peg Martens. Alberto Ducrocq is APIC.

Q What is the relationship between APIC and Sundstrand?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I wasn't prepared to answer that today.

MS. REZNIK: Counsel, I believe that was a topic encompassed in topic 4 based on the September 25th hearing before Judge Steve.

MR. MCCracken: I see in the 30(b)(6) notice the contributions made to the design and development of the APS 3200 by Turbomeca, Labinal, and their respective employees and agents. I would only ask where APIC is in that designation.

MS. REZNIK: Understanding the contributions made to the design and development of the APS 3200 by Turbomeca, Labinal, and their respective employees and agents, it's my understanding that those contributions would have been provided to individuals at APIC.

354

Mr. Suttie, can you tell me what the subject line of this coordination memo is?

A Load compressor delta P/P.

Q At the bottom of that page it says copy; correct?

A Correct.

Q Can you see that it's copied to Maedche?

A Yes.

Q Is that Terry Maedche?

A Yes.

Q Do you know why he was copied on this memo?

A Because, as I mentioned, he was a systems engineer working on the APS 3200. I copied to Kourosh and the lead systems engineer Terry Maedche, who was working for Kourosh, and also Bob Thompson, who was aerodynamics.

Q Why would Mr. Maedche be interested in the load compressor delta P/P?

A 'Cause he was working on the control system for the APS 3200.

Q The load compressor delta P/P is related to the surge control system of the APS 3200; correct?

A What do you mean by "related to"?

Q It's an aspect of the surge control system; correct? Let me ask it this way. What does the load

356

5 (Pages 353 to 356)

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES
619-233-0633 800-829-6159

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 compressor delta P/P have to do with the surge control
2 system, if anything?

3 A As I mentioned in my previous deposition, the
4 delta P/P is a parameter of the surge control mechanism.

5 Q So it's part of Mr. Maedche's work on the
6 control system for the APS 3200. He had a role in the
7 surge control system of the APS 3200?

8 A What do you mean by "a role"?

9 Q Meaning information that was relevant to his
10 responsibilities at Sundstrand.

11 A Which information is relevant?

12 Q We were talking about the load compressor delta
13 P/P.

14 A Okay.

15 Q You said the load compressor delta P/P is a
16 parameter of the surge control for the APS 3200;
17 correct?

18 A Correct.

19 Q And Mr. Maedche was involved in the testing of
20 the APS 3200 control system; correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q You also copied him on this memo; correct?

23 A Correct.

24 Q So, then, the load compressor delta P/P, as a
25 parameter of the surge control system of the APS 3200,

357

1 information received which may or may not be useful to
2 them. I give them that opportunity of reading the
3 information and deciding themselves whether this is
4 useful to them or not. So from my personal point of
5 view, I provided this to three people for them to
6 review. What they actually did with that, I do not
7 know.

8 Q Can you tell me if information regarding the
9 load compressor delta P/P and its setpoints is used in
10 testing activity for the APS 3200?

11 A Can you repeat the question, please?

12 MS. REZNIK: Can you read it back, please?
13 (Record read.)

14 MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.
15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that one more
16 time?

17 (Record read.)

18 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by information
19 regarding delta P/P?

20 MS. REZNIK: The information provided in this
21 coordination memo, Exhibit 69.

22 THE WITNESS: Then I do not know if this
23 information had any impact on the testing that we did.
24 BY MS. REZNIK:
25 Q I'm not asking you if this particular

358

1 was something Mr. Maedche received information about;
2 correct?

3 A Correct.

4 Q How would Mr. Maedche have used the load
5 compressor delta P/P information provided in this memo
6 in his role in the testing of the APS 3200?

7 MR. MCCracken: Objection; speculative.

8 THE WITNESS: While I'm prepared to talk about
9 Mr. Maedche's role, I don't know what he did on a daily
10 basis. I do not know what he did when he received this
11 memo in 1993.

12 BY MS. REZNIK:

13 Q Can you explain to me how this information
14 regarding the load compressor delta P/P would have been
15 used in the testing of the APS 3200 control system?

16 A When you say "would have been used," are you
17 asking me to -- to state exactly or to speculate?

18 Q State exactly if you can.

19 A Then I do not know how this particular
20 coordination memo was used.

21 Q But presumably Mr. Maedche was copied on this
22 document because it was understood that the load
23 compressor delta P/P information was something useful to
24 him in some way; correct?

25 A I have a policy of copying people on

358

1 information had an impact, but is this the kind of
2 information used in testing, generally, for the APS
3 3200?

4 A I'm sorry. When you say this kind of
5 information used generally, I find that difficult to
6 answer.

7 Q We were just talking about the load compressor
8 delta P/P and its setpoints, correct, for the APS 3200;
9 correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q You've already testified that that information
12 is a parameter of the surge control system of the APS
13 3200; correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Are you telling me that you don't know whether
16 or not the parameter of the surge control system of the
17 APS 3200 is something that is tested as part of the
18 control system testing of the APS 3200?

19 A The setpoint itself is not tested. The system
20 is tested with that setpoint to see how well the
21 setpoint works.

22 Q So, then, it's fair to say that the APS 3200
23 control system, in its testing, is looking at the ways
24 in which the setpoint performs as a parameter?

25 MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.

360

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

THE WITNESS: AS I mentioned, the APUS are tested with a particular setpoint. That setpoint -- the APU function is evaluated with a particular setpoint. Evaluated meaning tested.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q So that means that in the testing of the APS 3200, the setpoint would be evaluated, among a bunch of other tests?

A Yes.

Q Do you have Exhibit 230 in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

A I have some paper marked with "Deposition Exhibit 230," yes.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 230 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q And Exhibit 230, for the record, is a two-page coordination memo with Bates numbers HSB 030430 through 030431.

MR. MCCracken: Counsel, I think we have a bit of a mixup, perhaps.

MS. REZNIK: Oh, I'm sorry.

Q Do you have Exhibit 231 in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

A I have an exhibit marked 231, yes.

361

undershoot during MES valve closures."

Q Do you understand what the transient testing of the system relates to?

A Yes.

Q What does it relate to?

A The word "transient" means nonsteady state, something that changes. The work that we were doing was moving the aircraft's main engine start, which is the MES valve, and assessing the performance of the APS 3200 as a result of the aircraft's MES valve moving.

Q Was Mr. Maedche conducting the transient testing on this surge system of the APS 3200?

A As I mentioned earlier, he was the systems engineer requesting testing be accomplished, running the engine.

Q Was he present during the testing of the APS 3200 when he would ask for these tests to be conducted?

A I don't know.

Q Would a systems engineer asking for such testing generally be part of the testing, meaning he was present -- he would be present -- let me -- let me rephrase it. Would a systems engineer asking for testing generally be present during that testing?

A Generally that would be the case, but I do not know in this particular instance.

362

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 231 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q And for the record, to be clear, Exhibit 231 should have Bates numbers HSB 030430 through HSB 030431. Is that what you have in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

A Yes.

Q Do you see at the top of this coordination memo it says that it is from T. period, Maedche?

A Yes.

Q Is that Mr. Terry Maedche?

A Yes.

Q Who is this coordination memo to?

A Gerard Hardy.

Q Is Mr. Hardy -- or was Mr. Hardy an employee at Turbomeca?

A Yes.

Q Can you read the subject of this coordination memo, please?

A Reload compressor delta P on P.

Q Can you read the first line of this coordination memo, please?

A "Transient testing of the surge system at the tailcone with the mock-up aircraft ducting and actual MES valve has revealed a significant amount of flow

362

Q As a systems engineer requesting such transient testing on the surge system of the APS 3200, was Mr. Maedche in a supervisory role over those engineers conducting the testing?

A Supervisory is not a word I would use or request. But not a supervisor. A peer, not a supervisor.

Q "A peer" meaning what?

A An equal. Supervisor implies some level of seniority. So he was not in that position.

Q What was his role as requester of such testing on the APS 3200?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; vague.

THE WITNESS: He asked for certain testing to be accomplished, and the test department did it.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Why would he ask for such testing to be accomplished in the first place?

A As I mentioned earlier, we were trying to evaluate the performance of the APS 3200 control system.

Q Was it his job to determine which testing would be requested during this testing of the APS 3200 control system?

A It was not solely his job, but that was part -- he was part of a team working on this area of the

364

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 program.
 2 Q So part of Mr. Maedche's job as a systems
 3 engineer for the APS 3200 control system was to help
 4 determine which tests would be conducted on the APS 3200
 5 control system?
 6 A To help determine, yes.
 7 Q If you look at the second paragraph of Exhibit
 8 231, it begins with "This memo." Could you read that
 9 line for me?
 10 A "This memo intends to begin a dialogue
 11 regarding surge margin assessment and the" --
 12 Q The whole line.
 13 A The whole line or the whole sentence?
 14 Q The whole sentence. I'm sorry.
 15 A "This memo intends to begin a dialogue
 16 regarding surge margin assessment and the corresponding
 17 impact to set-point selection."
 18 Q What dialogue is it referring to?
 19 A At this time, there was no dialogue stated in
 20 this memo. The writer of this memo was intending to
 21 start the dialogue.
 22 Q Do you know who this dialogue would have been
 23 between or among, or do you know who this dialogue --
 24 that's right. Do you know who this dialogue would have
 25 been between or among?

365

1 period, Maedche, correct, along with P.J. Suttie?
 2 A Correct.
 3 Q T, period, Maedche is Terry Maedche; correct?
 4 A Correct.
 5 Q What is the subject of this coordination memo?
 6 A Load compressor control.
 7 Q The first line of this coordination memo reads
 8 that "SPS is looking in detail at the load compressor
 9 control."
 10 A Correct.
 11 Q SPS stands for Sundstrand?
 12 A Stands for Sundstrand Power Systems.
 13 Q If I were to refer to it as Sundstrand, you
 14 understand I'm talking about the same thing?
 15 A Okay.
 16 Q In the middle of this coordination memo it
 17 talks about the problem sequence and bleed on command.
 18 Do you see that in the middle of this coordination memo?
 19 A Bleed on commanded.
 20 Q What is this referring to in regards to the
 21 load compressor control of the APS 3200?
 22 A Sorry. What was the question?
 23 (Record read.)
 24 THE WITNESS: It's referring to a particular
 25 scenario of operation.

367

1 A Between Sundstrand and Turbomeca.
 2 Q What was Mr. Maedche's role in this dialogue
 3 regarding surge margin assessment and the corresponding
 4 impact to set-point selection on the APS 3200?
 5 A He was a member of the APS 3200 systems
 6 development team.
 7 Q So as a member of that team, Mr. Maedche would
 8 have been involved in the dialogue regarding the surge
 9 margin assessment and the corresponding impact to
 10 set-point selection on the APS 3200; correct?
 11 A Can you repeat that question, please?
 12 MS. REZNIK: Can you read it back?
 13 (Record read.)
 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
 15 BY MS. REZNIK:
 16 Q Mr. Suttie, the court reporter has just handed
 17 you what's been marked Exhibit 232. It's a three-page
 18 coordination memo with Bates numbers HSB 035001 through
 19 HSB 035003. Do you have this document in front of you,
 20 Mr. Suttie?
 21 A Yes.
 22 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 232 was marked for
 23 identification by the court reporter.)
 24 BY MS. REZNIK:
 25 Q This coordination memo says that it's from T,

366

1 BY MS. REZNIK:
 2 Q Is this memo referring to the load compressor
 3 control of the APS 3200 and specifically the surge
 4 control system?
 5 MR. MCCracken: I'm sorry. Could you repeat
 6 the question, please?
 7 (Record read.)
 8 THE WITNESS: It's referring to the load
 9 compressor control in general, of which the surge
 10 control is a subset.
 11 BY MS. REZNIK:
 12 Q If you look at the second sentence at the top
 13 of this coordination memo, could you read that for me?
 14 A "Please review this data and provide assistance
 15 as to how the B factor can be modified to prevent this
 16 situation arising."
 17 Q Can you tell me what situation has arisen?
 18 MR. MCCracken: It must be a humorous
 19 situation.
 20 THE WITNESS: It's a very poorly coord-written
 21 memo. Can you repeat the question, please?
 22 (Record read.)
 23 THE WITNESS: It appears, from reading the
 24 coord memo, that a situation arose that we were not
 25 expecting, that it was possible for the parameter known

368

8 (Pages 365 to 368)

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES
 619-233-0633 800-829-6159

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

as the B factor, which I had discussed previously, to change as a result of increasing load compressor outlet temperature. And due to that change in the computed B factor, the load compressor control that we had previously discussed could have been enabled or disabled depending on the relative difference between the B and the B critical, which we have computed.

This memo points to an inaccuracy -- a design inaccuracy in the B factor which affected the enabling of the normal bleed control valve -- control signal.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Who was responsible for designing this B factor?

A Turbomeca.

Q Do you know who at Turbomeca was responsible for the B factor design?

A In preparation for this deposition, I reviewed some coordination memos, and I saw two names: Pierre Biscay and his supervisor Herbert Vignau.

Q So is it your testimony that these two individuals, Pierre Biscay and Herbert Vignau, were responsible for designing the B factor in the APS 3200?

A In all of the coordination memos and communications I received from Turbomeca, they were represented as the devisers of this system, yes.

369

1 until 1996 when we introduced a software version called
2 4.1, which, similar to 3.2, did not use this feature.
3 Version 4.1 is still in service today.

4 MR. MCCracken: And the subject is covered in
5 detail on pages 249 and 250 of Mr. Suttie's deposition
6 transcript from June 29, 2000.

7 BY MS. REZNIK:

8 Q At this time, the B factor was involved in the
9 surge control system of the APS 3200; correct? I mean,
10 "this time" meaning the time represented in Exhibit 232.

11 A Correct.

12 Q The coordination memo also references IGVs; is
13 that correct?

14 A It mentions IGVs, yes.

15 Q And IGV stands for inlet guide vane; correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q So this memo from Terry Maedche is providing
18 information regarding IGV testing; is that correct?

19 A No.

20 Q What kind of information is Mr. Maedche
21 providing in this coordination memo?

22 A The memo is from Mr. Maedche and myself. What
23 we were providing here was the load compressor test
24 scenario. We were not testing the IGVs. The IGVs, as I
25 previously stated, are of the architecture of the load

371

1 Q Am I correct that this B factor is no longer
2 used in the APS 3200?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Do you know when, approximately, it stopped
5 being used in the APS 3200?

6 MR. MCCracken: Objection; asked and answered.

7 THE WITNESS: I'm not prepared to answer that
8 today. I have not researched that to know exactly when
9 that was eliminated.

10 MS. REZNIK: I believe that's covered in topic
11 4 and topic 2.

12 MR. MCCracken: I see your logic in that. I
13 think that he testified on this before. So we would
14 like to see if you have your answer already.

15 MS. REZNIK: Okay.

16 MR. MCCracken: Can we go off the record for a
17 moment?

18 MS. REZNIK: Sure.

19 (Recess.)

20 THE WITNESS: This mechanism was used in a
21 software version called version 2.0.2. All subsequent
22 versions did not use this feature. As I mentioned in my
23 previous testimony, we introduced a software version
24 called 3.2 in 1995. However, for unrelated reasons, it
25 was withdrawn from service. We went back to using 2.02

370

1 compressor, but we were not testing the IGVs.

2 Q The load compressor test scenario, as you
3 described it, provided by both you and Mr. Maedche,
4 relates to the surge control system of the APS 3200;
5 correct?

6 A It relates to the control system of the valve.
7 We call it the bleed control valve, which performs a
8 function similar to the aircraft, and also that valve is
9 used to divert load compressor discharged air to exhaust
10 when necessary.

11 Q So the load compressor test scenario provided
12 by both you and Mr. Maedche relates to what you know as
13 the bleed control valve system of the APS 3200; correct?

14 A Yes.

15 MR. MCCracken: Would you like to take a short
16 break?

17 THE WITNESS: I'd like to take a short break.

18 MS. REZNIK: Sure. Why don't we take a short
19 break.

20 (Recess.)

21 BY MS. REZNIK:

22 Q The court reporter just handed you what's been
23 marked as Exhibit 233, with Bates number HSB 035082. Do
24 you have that, Mr. Suttie?

25 A Yes.

372

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 233 was marked for
2 identification by the court reporter.)
3 BY MS. REZNIK:
4 Q Exhibit 233 is a coordination memo; correct?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And the coordination memo is authored by T,
7 period, Maedche; correct?
8 A Correct.
9 Q And that refers to Terry Maedche?
10 A Correct.
11 Q What is the subject of this coordination memo?
12 A Load compressor data.
13 Q Can you read the first line of the coordination
14 memo for me, please?
15 A "SPS is currently conducting final surge system
16 development testing, computer" --
17 Q First sentence of the coordination memo,
18 please.
19 A "SPS is currently conducting final surge system
20 development testing, computer simulation correlation,
21 and surge system tolerance analysis."
22 Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in the final surge
23 system development testing referenced in this memo?
24 A He was involved, yes.
25 Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in the computer

373

1 A Terry Maedche wrote this coordination memo. As
2 I mentioned previously, he was part of the development
3 team. He was working with others to do this system
4 development. So I can't say for sure whether it was
5 someone else's idea or not.
6 Q When you said "this engineer's request," you
7 were referring to Terry Maedche; correct?
8 A I was referring to the writer of this coord
9 memo, which is Terry Maedche, yes.
10 Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in the surge system
11 tolerance analysis, described in the first sentence of
12 this coordination memo, for the APS 3200?
13 A Yes.
14 Q What is the purpose of surge system tolerance
15 analysis?
16 A When evaluating a system, or any part for that
17 matter, not all components behave exactly the same. We
18 make 100 load compressors. They don't all behave
19 exactly the same. There is a tolerance. To make sure
20 that a system works correctly, you need to allot for
21 that variation between the different pieces of hardware.
22 And so when designing a system with enough allowance for
23 the tolerances -- and so that was what was being done
24 here.
25 The control system has many components. It's

375

1 simulation correlation of the APS 3200 related to the
2 load compressor data?
3 A We never had a good computer simulation of this
4 system. So while we may have tried to have correlation
5 between computer analysis and actual test data, it was
6 never successful.
7 Q So it's your testimony that you never did a
8 computer simulation correlation test on the APS 3200?
9 A A computer simulation correlation test, no.
10 That wouldn't be a computer simulation. It would be a
11 computer program, which is intended to simulate real
12 hardware. We never had a good computer model. It's
13 very complicated, and we never had a good model. So we
14 did our system development on engine tests, as I've
15 mentioned to you previously. This was an attempt to get
16 data to do a computer simulation correlation. It was
17 this engineer's request to do this, as stated here, but
18 it's not --
19 Q I'm sorry. Continue.
20 A But we were never very successful at doing
21 simulation of the system.
22 Q You said this was an attempt to get data to do
23 a computer simulation correlation based on the
24 engineer's request. This engineer's request meaning
25 Terry Maedche?

374

1 got sensors. It's got the load compressor itself. You
2 need to add up all those tolerances to make sure that
3 the system will always work as required by the
4 customer -- all days, all temperatures, all locations,
5 all altitudes as specified.
6 Q So it was part of Mr. Maedche's job to request
7 these various surge and tolerance analysis tests for the
8 APS 3200?
9 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that, please?
10 (Record read.)
11 THE WITNESS: There are multiple things there.
12 This was a request for data from Turbomeca. What you
13 also mentioned was testing, which he was not requesting.
14 It was testing that was being carried out in San Diego.
15 So what he was requesting here was basic performance
16 data for the load compressor.
17 BY MS. REZNIK:
18 Q Would Mr. Maedche be involved in analyzing that
19 data?
20 A Typically not a systems engineer. This is
21 primarily performance information which you get from a
22 performance engineer. The only reason the systems
23 engineer would be interested in this is if we were
24 building a simulation -- a computer program that I
25 mentioned previously.

376

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

Q So Mr. Maedche was interested in building this computer simulation correlation for the APS 3200 surge control system; is that correct?

A As I mentioned earlier, this coord memo is from him, asking for that data, but I do not recall if he was asked to do that by somebody else, or whether that was his initiation -- whether he initiated this -- that activity. I actually -- I don't recall.

Q So you can't tell me what his role was in the computer simulation correlation analysis or development; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that question, please?

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: No. That's not correct.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q What's wrong with that statement?

A You included four different topics in there: Computer simulation, analysis, testing, and something else.

Q I believe the question phrases -- it's phrased exactly as what's written here; computer simulation correlation. That's one phrase we were talking about, correct, one type of analysis?

A But that's different from testing or system

377

1 answer.

2 Q Identify which one you are not in a position to
3 tell me.

4 A The computer simulation correlation. I do not
5 recall that being accomplished.

6 Q So it's your testimony that you don't know
7 Mr. Maedche's role in this computer simulation
8 correlation because you don't know whether such a
9 correlation took place?

10 A Yes.

11 Q The court reporter just handed you what's been
12 marked as Exhibit 234, Bates range numbers HSB 03579 --
13 HSB 035779 through HSB 035782. Do you have this
14 coordination memo in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

15 A The last page is 035782. Correct.
16 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 234 was marked for
17 identification by the court reporter.)

18 BY MS. REZNIK:

19 Q This is a coordination memo regarding the APS
20 3200 APU between Sundstrand and Turbomeca; correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q What is the subject of this coordination memo?

23 A Flight V0074 LC transient pressure
24 fluctuations.

25 Q LC stands for load compressor; correct?

379

1 tolerance analysis.

2 Q Right. But my question didn't include those.
3 My question said that you cannot tell me what
4 Mr. Maedche's role was in the computer simulation
5 correlation analysis or development; is that correct?

6 THE WITNESS: Can you read the original
7 question, please? Because I think that second question
8 is not the same.

9 (Record read.)

10 THE WITNESS: Go back to the previous question.

11 MS. REZNIK: That's the question she read. It
12 was the same.

13 MR. MCCracken: Do you understand the question?

14 THE WITNESS: No.

15 BY MS. REZNIK:

16 Q What don't you understand about the question?

17 A The way I understood the question initially was
18 covering multiple topics, but one part of this that I am
19 not in a position to answer is concerning the computer
20 simulation correlation. Surge system tolerance analysis
21 I've already mentioned he was involved with. Surge
22 system development testing, we've already discussed that
23 he was involved with. So when you roll them all
24 together, as I understood the question, I thought you
25 put three things in one that I was not in a position to

378

1 A Correct.

2 Q This coordination memo is discussing flight
3 testing on the APS 3200; correct?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Is it fair to say that this is also analyzing
6 flight testing data?

7 A I need to read it.

8 Q Do you want to take some time to just look it
9 over?

10 A Yes. It appears to be addressing the flight
11 test reserve 74 data.

12 Q Can you read the first sentence of the
13 coordination memo?

14 A "There are several indications that the APU
15 surged during flight V0074."

16 Q This surge that it's talking about is then
17 talking about the surge control system of the APS 3200;
18 correct?

19 A It's talking about an actual phenomenon --
20 surge of the load compressor, which the surge control
21 system did not prevent.

22 Q If you turn to the second page of this
23 coordination memo, can you read the last paragraph that
24 begins with "Go Forward"?

25 A "Go Forward: Terry Maedche will continue

380

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 discussions with DA to determine the force and
2 subsequent flow differential required to open the check
3 valve."

4 Q What is "DA" referring to?

5 A Deutsche Airbus. Our OEM customer.

6 Q Deutsche Airbus was a customer purchasing the
7 APS 3200 APU; right?

8 A They manufactured the airplane and purchased
9 APS 3200.

10 Q What discussions was Mr. Maedche having with
11 Airbus at this time?

12 A I read, solely from these words, that there
13 appears to have been some question concerning the amount
14 of force necessary to open an aircraft check valve.
15 Check valve is a valve which prevents flowing in the
16 reverse direction.

17 Q Is this check valve an element of the surge
18 control system on the APS 3200?

19 A No.

20 Q What is it part of?

21 A It's part of the airplane. It's part of the
22 aircraft air duct.

23 Q Does it have an effect on the surge control
24 system of the APS 3200 in any way?

25 A No, it doesn't. It's being discussed here

381

1 direction, but when there is no flow going this way, the
2 valve is sprung loaded to close so that flow cannot come
3 this way. The check valve -- the purpose of the check
4 valve is to protect the APU from high-pressure air
5 coming from the main engines.

6 So what is being discussed here is the
7 fluctuation of -- the check valve opened and closed as a
8 result of fluctuations in the delivered air from the APS
9 3200. There is much discussion of the delta P on P
10 value and the computed B factor. And I see on page 4 of
11 this memo what I've always called the delta P on P curve
12 that was supplied by Turbomeca. In this curve, it
13 blocks delta P on P on the "Y" axis, and on the "X" axis
14 corrected air flow.

15 In the top left-hand corner of that figure, you
16 see that it says IGV setting for minus 15 to plus 82
17 degrees. That is the full range of IGV angles, and that
18 is why the control system, as implemented in the APS
19 3200, does not use IGV angles as implemented to the
20 APU setpoint. As this curve shows, there is no need.
21 It provides no benefit, no value.

22 So the delta P on P that is being discussed at
23 value of the "Y" axis -- B factor was used, as I
24 mentioned before, to determine which side of the -- this
25 curve we were on to enable or disable surge control

383

1 because it is possible for that valve to move as a
2 result of APU behavior, but it does not affect the other
3 way around. It's a valve in the aircraft.

4 Q The subsequent flow differential that is
5 referring, what is that?

6 A As a result of any -- it's not absolutely clear
7 to me what all is meant by this -- this word -- these
8 words.

9 Q If you look at the conclusion of this
10 coordination memo, can you describe for me what the
11 conclusion of this memo is?

12 A The conclusion is a discussion -- one side of a
13 discussion that Sundstrand was having with Turbomeca as
14 to what was happening in some flight test data. It
15 appears to have been -- and there is a reference to a
16 previous coordination memo from Turbomeca making some
17 statements which is disagreeing with.

18 Q Does this conclusion discuss the delta P/P
19 parameter of the surge control system of the APS 3200?

20 A While delta P on P is mentioned here a number
21 of times, the issue that was being discussed was one of
22 an interaction between the APU and the aircraft, and the
23 fact that there were fluctuations in the amount of flow
24 being delivered by the APU was causing an aircraft check
25 valve -- a check valve can be blown open in one

382

1 computation of B factor and B critical value.

2 So this is the discussion of some events which
3 had occurred at flight testing in Toulouse. I had
4 mentioned that Terry Maedche was our support person for
5 that flight test campaign. He was a resident in France
6 for about three months, and that is why his name is
7 mentioned as continuing discussions with DA, because he
8 was in Europe at the time.

9 Q So, then, is it your understanding that the
10 subsequent topics they are talking about is this delta
11 P/P curve that we just talked about?

12 A I think specifically what I would read from
13 this, as a systems engineer by background, that when we
14 have the valve and it's closed, to blow it open takes
15 some amount of energy. So I -- to determine the
16 force -- the force to open it and the subsequent flow
17 differential, because there is some pressure drop across
18 that valve, that is what I think he means here.

19 Q Okay.

20 A That's how I would interpret it.

21 Q If you look at page 3 of this memo, HSB 035781,
22 can you read that?

23 A "In addition, Terry would like to visit
24 Turbomeca as soon as additional A/C flight test data is
25 available to discuss the cause and solution of this

38

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

anomaly."

Q The anomaly that Mr. Maedche was going to be assessing relates to the incident of surge during this flight test; correct?

A Well, this coord memo doesn't -- this coord memo indicates that Edelman believes surge was taking place, but that is not necessarily the case. When flight test data is obtained and an anomaly occurs, it's the job of the engineers working on the program to try to understand actually what's happened. This was Ed's suggestion, I think. If we read on, "I believe the APU surges upon closure of the check valve," it would be typical of somebody hypothesizing, but not being absolutely a proven fact at that point.

And so the anomaly is, as I mentioned, the check valve. The aircraft check valve is banging open and closed as a result of fluctuations in the air. That is not an event which meets the specification as provided by our customer.

Q Right.

A And so we were trying to understand why it was happening, and this, you can see, is an exchange between Sundstrand and Turbomeca hypothesizing comments, trying to analyze the situation.

Q Right. My question is would Terry Maedche, in

1 software used in the control system of the APS 3200;
2 correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Does that software include the surge control
5 system of the APS 3200?

6 A Yes.

7 Q So is it fair to say that Mr. Maedche was
8 responsible for comparing the software versions as
9 referenced in this coordination memo?

10 A No. The software versions are complex. They
11 control many things. This was a narrow subset of those
12 software programs. And so he was not comparing the
13 whole version. He was just comparing IGV min. position,
14 which is just one particular number. So it's a very
15 small subset of the overall features.

16 Q Is the IGV min. position part of the surge
17 control system of the APS 3200?

18 A No.

19 Q What is that part of?

20 A IGV has a separate control system. So this is
21 part of the IGV control system. It was -- as I
22 mentioned before, those two are independent.

23 Q So Mr. Maedche's role was to compare the
24 software versions referenced in this coordination memo,
25 in Exhibit 235, relating to the IGV min. position; is

385

387

1 his discussions with Turbomeca, have discussed the
2 indications that APU -- that the APU may have surged
3 during flight?

4 A He would have discussed the data as discovered,
5 the raw data, and also the conclusions drawn by Edelman
6 here, yes.

7 Q Mr. Suttie, you've just been handed what's been
8 marked as Exhibit 235, which is a coordination memo
9 regarding the APS 3200 between Turbomeca and Sundstrand.
10 The Bates number is HSB 035838. Do you have that in
11 front of you?

12 A Yes.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 235 was marked for
14 identification by the court reporter.)

15 BY MS. REZNIK:

16 Q Who is the author of this coordination memo?

17 A Terry Maedche.

18 Q What is the subject of this memo?

19 A IGV min. position.

20 Q Can you read the first sentence of this
21 coordination memo, please?

22 A "We have compared S/W versions 1.0.1.d to S/W
23 2.0 by conducting a test in the tailcone and we confirm
24 the difference in the IGV min. position."

25 Q The software versions mentioned relate to the

386

1 that correct?

2 A Can I read the whole thing?

3 Q Sure.

4 A Do you want me to describe what was going on
5 here?

6 Q Well, can you answer the question?

7 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question,
8 please?

9 (Record read.)

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 BY MS. REZNIK:

12 Q Mr. Suttie, you've been handed what the court
13 reporter just marked Exhibit 236, with Bates number HSB
14 035839. Do you have that in front of you?

15 A 035839, yes.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 236 was marked for
17 identification by the court reporter.)

18 BY MS. REZNIK:

19 Q This is a coordination memo between Turbomeca
20 and Sundstrand regarding the APS 3200 APU; correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Who is the author of this coordination memo?

23 A Terry Maedche.

24 Q What's the subject of this memo?

25 A IGV position compensation in software version

388

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 2.0.
 2 Q Can you read the second sentence of this
 3 coordination memo, please?
 4 A "I conducted a test here in the tail section
 5 and compared the 1.0.1.d software to the 2.0 software at
 6 the maximum IGV position. I observed" -- "at the
 7 maximum IGV position and I observed a 1 degree position
 8 difference."
 9 Q Can you also read the last sentence of this
 10 coordination memo, please?
 11 A "In summary" -- the last sentence or the last
 12 paragraph?
 13 Q Last sentence.
 14 A "Therefore we intend to leave the software 2.0
 15 version as it is because the BITE tolerance enhancement
 16 outweighs the impact to performance."
 17 Q Is it fair to say, then, that Mr. Maedche was
 18 involved in software version 2.0 of the APS 3200?
 19 MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.
 20 THE WITNESS: This coord memo says that he ran
 21 some tests, evaluated a particular IGV angle, and
 22 decided to make no change.
 23 BY MS. REZNIK:
 24 Q So, then, that would be yes, he was involved in
 25 the software 2.0 version?

389

1 software 2.0 worked? Let me rephrase that.
 2 Mr. Maedche -- Mr. Maedche's role was to evaluate how
 3 software 2.0 behaved; is that correct?
 4 A As I read this coord memo, yes. It appears
 5 that Turbomeca had asked the question relating to the
 6 IGV positioning by the software -- by our control
 7 system -- Sundstrand's control system. I mentioned
 8 previously there was an interface control document
 9 between the two companies to define how the control
 10 system would control the APU.
 11 Q So, then, Mr. Maedche was involved in the
 12 evaluation of this 2.0 version of software that relates
 13 to the IGV position in the APS 3200 control system;
 14 correct?
 15 A Yes.
 16 Q The court reporter just handed you what's been
 17 marked as Exhibit 237, I believe. Bates range numbers
 18 HSB 110069 through HSB 110103. Do you have that
 19 document in front of you?
 20 A I have a document with those outside HSB
 21 numbers, yes.
 22 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 237 was marked for
 23 identification by the court reporter.)
 24 BY MS. REZNIK:
 25 Q Turning to the third page of this document, HSB

391

1 A What do you mean by "involved"?
 2 Q You just described that he made some decisions
 3 regarding the software 2.0 version; correct?
 4 A Choosing not to change something doesn't imply
 5 involvement.
 6 Q It doesn't? How does it not imply involvement?
 7 If he were to make the decision to change it, would that
 8 be involvement?
 9 A How a change would be made, I would consider
 10 that involvement, yes.
 11 Q But you are saying because he decided not to
 12 make a change, that that wasn't involvement?
 13 A See, this particular parameter, which is the
 14 IGV opening angle, was defined in software version 2.0,
 15 and I don't know whether -- I don't know who did that.
 16 This is an evaluation of how -- of how that version of
 17 software behaved. It's semantics on the word
 18 involvement to me. I don't -- I don't see it to not
 19 change something. I would say he was involved if he had
 20 made a positive change. This is not a positive change.
 21 Q So how would you describe his role in the
 22 software 2.0 version? If not involvement, what word
 23 would you use?
 24 A Evaluated how it behaved.
 25 Q So Mr. Maedche's role was to evaluate how

390

1 110071, tell me what the subject of this document is.
 2 A APS 3200 software version 0.1.7. Is that the
 3 right page?
 4 Q Yes. Can you see that Mr. Maedche is copied on
 5 this document?
 6 A Yes.
 7 Q Does the APS 3200 version 0.1.7 include the
 8 surge control system of the APS 3200?
 9 A Yes.
 10 Q The court reporter just handed you what's been
 11 marked as Exhibit 238, with Bates range numbers HSB
 12 145334 through HSB 145357. Do you have that document in
 13 front of you?
 14 A I have a document with those Bates numbers,
 15 yes.
 16 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 238 was marked for
 17 identification by the court reporter.)
 18 BY MS. REZNIK:
 19 Q Can you turn to the second page of this
 20 document? What is this document?
 21 A It's an engine report titled "APS 3200 ECB/APU
 22 Integration Test Plan."
 23 Q And it's dated January 30, 1993; correct?
 24 A Correct.
 25 Q Can you see that this document was copied to

391

14 (Pages 389 to 392)

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES
 619-233-0633 800-829-6159

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

Mr. Maedche, if you look back at the page we were just looking at?

A Yes.

Q At the top it says "Copy Assignment," and then it says "Assigned To," and then it lists these various individuals, including Mr. Maedche; correct?

A Correct.

Q What does "Assigned To" refer to?

A A copy was provided to. There is no requirement. The word "assigned" doesn't mean they had to do anything. It was only copied to.

Q Okay. The purpose of this document was to describe critical safety tests to be performed on the APS 3200 engine and controller for each of the software; correct?

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please?

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Mr. Maedche was involved in the testing of this APS 3200?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous.

MS. REZNIK: Let me rephrase it, actually.

Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in these safety tests

393

of the controller to control the load compressor when the aircraft demand was changing. It was a transient. The flight tests were far broader in reach. They were testing the capability of the APU and all features of its performance: Installation, operation, fault detection, a complete function of the unit in the installed airplane. So there's two different tests in your question, and they are different.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q So what is the answer to the transient evaluation load tests? Was -- let me rephrase it. Hold on. Did these transient evaluation load tests involve testing of the surge control system on the APS 3200?

A As I mentioned a minute ago, it involves testing the load compressor in simulating environment, simulating the aircraft, changing the nature of the aircraft, the amount of air required by the aircraft, different modes of operation, main engine start mode, environmental start system control mode. So that was for that transient test that we have discussed.

Q So this transient test is measuring the air flow through the load compressor -- or the requirements of air flow through the load compressor?

A It's measuring the function of the load compressor, which partially is air flow, but it's also

395

for the APS 3200?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous.

THE WITNESS: I do not know if Terry Maedche ever did a safety test. This is a specific test that we used prior to taking a software version to a customer or to some critical operation. It just proves that the software is safe for use outside. And so it's a specific procedure which we try to document so we follow the same procedure every time. I do not know if Mr. Maedche ever ran that test.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Can you describe what types of tests Mr. Maedche was involved in for the APS 3200?

A We discussed earlier there was the transient evaluation tests. He supported the flight tests. Those are the only tests that I recall him being involved with.

Q Did these transient evaluation load tests and flight tests involve testing of the surge control system on the APS 3200?

MR. MCCracken: Can you read the question back, please?

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: Two different tests mentioned there. The transient evaluation was testing the ability

394

looking for consistency of air flow. There is no indication that the air was stopped and started, and also that the load compressor would function as required by the specification.

Q This transient air flow through load compressor relates to the delta P/P parameter; correct?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.

THE WITNESS: The transient refers to the position of aircraft components such as valves on the main engine start valve or the ECS start valve. What that does is it changes the operating point of the load compressor, as you showed in one of your earlier figures, the figure that I called the delta P on P curve. So as the aircraft transient requirements change, so the air flow through the load compressor changes, yes.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q So the air flow through the load compressor relates to this delta P/P parameter; correct?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; asked and answered, and this subject matter was addressed fully in his previous deposition.

THE WITNESS: Either relationship of the curve that you showed earlier -- the air flow through the load compressor has a relationship to delta P and P, as

396

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 mentioned in your figure. I don't know the exhibit
2 number.
3 BY MS. REZNIK:
4 Q So that would be yes, the air flow through the
5 load compressor does have a relationship to delta P/P as
6 we've already discussed?
7 MR. MCCracken: Objection; asked and answered.
8 THE WITNESS: The measure of delta P and P
9 static that we have discussed before, yes.
10 BY MS. REZNIK:
11 Q So these transient evaluation load tests that
12 Mr. Maedche was involved in directly were looking at the
13 ability of the load compressor to deal with various air
14 flow measurements; is that correct?
15 A No. The transient tests were changes in demand
16 from the aircraft that causes the load compressor to
17 change the operating -- the amount of air flow changes,
18 and the control system needs to adapt appropriately, and
19 we were testing the appropriateness of that adaption.
20 Q So Mr. Maedche was involved in that testing
21 relating to the adaption of the load compressor
22 controls?
23 A Yes.
24 Q You've just been handed by the court reporter
25 what's been marked as Exhibit 239, with Bates range

397

1 to the bleed control valve of the APS 3200 at this time?
2 A Can I read it?
3 Q Sure.
4 (Record read.)
5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
6 BY MS. REZNIK:
7 Q The court reporter has just handed you what's
8 been marked as Exhibit 240, with Bates numbers HSB
9 195355 through 195363. Do you have that exhibit in
10 front of you?
11 A I have a document with those HSB numbers on the
12 outside of the document, yes.
13 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 240 was marked for
14 identification by the court reporter.)
15 BY MS. REZNIK:
16 Q Can you tell me what the subject of this memo
17 is?
18 A APS 3200 minutes of the technical coordination
19 meeting at Deutsche Aerospace Airbus, Hamburg, August
20 11th through 12th, 1993.
21 Q Can you read the first sentence of this memo?
22 A "The meeting was represented" -- "requested" --
23 sorry -- "by DA to review pending issues of the
24 qualification program of the APS 3200 as well as the
25 actions resulting from the development and certification

399

1 numbers HSB 260155 through 260156. Do you have that in
2 front of you, Mr. Suttie?
3 A Yes.
4 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 239 was marked for
5 identification by the court reporter.)
6 BY MS. REZNIK:
7 Q This is a memo from Mr. Mehr-Ayin to you; is
8 that correct?
9 A Correct.
10 Q Do you see that this memo was copied to
11 Mr. Maedche?
12 A Yes.
13 Q What's the subject of this memo?
14 A B factor calculations.
15 Q The B factor calculations related at one point
16 to the delta P/P parameter in the APS 3200; is that
17 correct?
18 A No.
19 Q What does the B factor relate to? Just refresh
20 my memory.
21 A The B factor is an analog test which enables
22 controls or disables controls.
23 Q Of?
24 A The bleed control valve.
25 Q So this B factor calculations memo was relating

398

1 flight tests."
2 Q If you turn to the next page of this memo,
3 "Attachment 1," can you see that this lists the
4 attendees of this meeting?
5 A Yes.
6 Q Mr. Maedche was present at this meeting;
7 correct?
8 A Yes.
9 Q You were also present?
10 A Yes.
11 Q Turn to the next page, which is "Attachment 2"
12 of this coordination memo -- or coordination meeting
13 memo. It lists the agenda; correct?
14 A Yes.
15 Q The qualification status of the 3200 was
16 discussed; correct?
17 A Qualification status, yes.
18 Q Flight test of the APS 3200 was discussed;
19 correct?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And the ECB evolution, as noted in topic 3 here
22 of the memo, was discussed at this meeting; correct?
23 A Yes.
24 Q The ECB evolution appears to have involved
25 various software versions for the APS 3200 control

406

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

system; is that correct?

A It mentions multiple different versions, yes.

Q And all of these software versions relate to the control system of the APS 3200; correct?

A Correct.

Q This control system includes the surge control system of the APS 3200; correct?

A Correct.

Q It also includes the fuel control system of the APS 3200; correct?

A Correct.

Q The court reporter just handed you what's been marked as Exhibit 241, with Bates numbers HSA 196281 through 196284. Do you see that, Mr. Suttie?

A 196281 and the last page is 196284?

Q Yes.

A Yes.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 241 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q This appears to be a memo from Steve Gates; correct?

A Yes.

Q And the subject is the APS 3200; correct?

A Yes.

401

Q Can you read the first sentence of this memo?

A "Today's meeting began with a group discussion between DA and SPS/APIC regarding installation issues. Please see attached sheet."

Q It's your understanding that it's talking about installation issues regarding the APS 3200; correct?

A Yes.

Q Turn to the third page of this memo, HSA 196283 -- oh, actually turn to the last page, 196284. Can you read the last paragraph, to the best you can, of this memo?

A "Mr. Neufert wants to know when exactly serial number 0011 ECB will be returned to DA. He wants it to be returned with the latest software pair TA007. They also need an OBRM for serial number 0013 with this same software in order to support ground testing. Please send this to Terry Maedche. Action: Suttie."

Q What is "OBRM"?

A On board replaceable module. It's a small cartridge which holds all of the operational software. To change software of any controller, which is a box, you just take out the cartridge, reprogram it, and put it back in again.

Q So this OBRM contains within it the controls logic for the APS 3200?

402

1 A It's -- yes. Contains memory devices which
2 have imbedded in the memory all of the control features
3 of the control system.

4 Q So it says on this memo that OBRM is being sent
5 to Terry Maedche; is that correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you know what Mr. Maedche is supposed to do
8 with that OBRM?

9 A He was a resident in Toulouse at the time, so
10 we were using him as a go-between so that we could send
11 our hardware to a known person who would then install it
12 in these two different ECBs that is referenced here, 11
13 and 13. We were solely using him 'cause he was in
14 France rather than trying to send it to somebody at
15 Airbus we didn't know or it could get lost. It was a
16 safe mechanism for Federal Express or whatever overnight
17 mail delivery system we used.

18 Q So when this OBRM system was being sent to
19 Mr. Maedche, he was supposed to install that into the
20 APU in Toulouse?

21 A Into the electronic control box in Toulouse,
22 like a technician. It's just an installer.

23 Q You've just been handed what's been marked as
24 Exhibit 242, HSA 211142 through 211149. Do you have
25 that in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

403

1 A Yes.

2 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 242 was marked for
3 identification by the court reporter.)

4 BY MS. REZNIK:

5 Q What is this document?

6 A APS 3200, engineering report, surge margin
7 throughout operating envelope, reference number
8 332-0212.

9 Q It's dated March 26th, 1993; correct?

10 A Correct.

11 Q If you look at 1.0 of this document --

12 A Say again?

13 Q 1.0. It's on page 4.

14 A Section 1.07

15 Q Yeah. Sorry.

16 A Okay.

17 Q Can you read the paragraph?

18 A "1.0. Scope. According to requirement of para
19 5.5 of TSO-C77a and paragraph 5.5.1 of JAR-APU change 2,
20 this document provides analysis showing that the
21 compressors of the APIC APS 3200 auxiliary power unit,
22 parentheses, APU, can operate with convenient surge
23 margin throughout the APU specified operating envelope.
24 The APU operating envelope is defined according to APS
25 3200 model specification ref d."

404

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 Q If you look down to 4.0, it reads "Load
2 Compressor Stability/ Surge Control"; correct?
3 A Uh-huh.
4 Q And it describes the load compressor surge
5 control, doesn't it, on the APS 3200?
6 A It mentions the load compressor control --
7 surge control based upon the existence of a unique curve
8 relating to the following parameter, delta P on P and
9 air flow, yes.
10 Q If you look to the front page of this once
11 again, 211142 --
12 A Yes.
13 Q -- do you see that Mr. Maedche's copied on this
14 document?
15 A Yes, I do.
16 Q You've just been handed what's been marked
17 Exhibit 243, Bates numbers HSA 211218 through 211226.
18 Do you see this document?
19 A 211226, yes.
20 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 243 was marked for
21 identification by the court reporter.)
22 BY MS. REZNIK:
23 Q This is a coordination memo regarding APS 3200
24 between Turbomeca and Sundstrand; correct?
25 A Yes.

405

1 relate to the fuel control system?
2 A During start of the APU, yes. I apologize.
3 Q Do you see that Mr. Maedche is copied on this
4 document?
5 A Yes.
6 Q You've just been handed what's been marked as
7 Exhibit 244, which is a coordination memo with Bates
8 numbers HSA 211379 through 80. Do you have that in
9 front of you?
10 A Yes.
11 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 244 was marked for
12 identification by the court reporter.)
13 BY MS. REZNIK:
14 Q This is a coordination memo regarding the APS
15 3000 APU between Turbomeca and Sundstrand; is that
16 correct?
17 A This relates to the 3200. This was just a
18 preprinted paper which would be -- presumably the
19 individual who wrote this didn't normally write coord
20 memos, and I would suspect that he had a pile of paper
21 and just used an old form. If you look at the date,
22 it's 1993. We were clearly calling it the APS 3200 at
23 that time. So this relates to the APS 3200.
24 Q Okay. When did you start calling it the APS
25 3200 as opposed to the APS 3000?

407

1 Q And it attaches a different memo; correct?
2 A Yes.
3 Q What is the memo that's attached?
4 A A memo from Ed Edelman concerning the APS 3200
5 EGT limit start setpoint modification for hot day
6 operation.
7 Q Does this EGT limit start setpoint relate to
8 the fuel control system of the APS 3200?
9 A No.
10 Q It has no connection to the fuel control system
11 of the APS 3200?
12 A No.
13 Q Okay. Does this EGT limit start have any
14 relationship to the control system?
15 A No.
16 Q Okay.
17 A Can I look at that again, please?
18 Q Sure.
19 A I misread the subject of this. I took it to be
20 EGT control for study state, but EGT limit start
21 setpoint modification means that it is used for starting
22 the APU. As a result -- I apologize -- it does affect
23 fuel control.
24 Q So to be clear, we are talking about Exhibit
25 243, and it's your understanding that this memo does

406

1 MR. MCCracken: Objection; asked and answered
2 in his previous deposition.
3 THE WITNESS: I didn't prepare for this, but as
4 I recall, it was 1990 -- in the 1990 time frame, long
5 before this coord memo.
6 BY MS. REZNIK:
7 Q What's the subject of this coordination memo?
8 A IGV schedule with ECS minimum demand.
9 Q And can you read the first sentence?
10 A Please find enclosed a new, in parentheses,
11 proposition for IGV setting versus inlet temperature for
12 0 percent.
13 Q It says that this memo is from P, period,
14 Marconi; correct?
15 A Correct.
16 Q Is that someone from Turbomeca?
17 A Yes.
18 Q So someone from Turbomeca was providing
19 Sundstrand information regarding the IGV setting?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Do you see that Mr. Maedche is copied on this
22 document?
23 A Yes.
24 Q Would IGV schedule with ECS minimum demand, the
25 subject of this memo, have any impact on the transient

40

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

evaluation load testing of the APS 3200?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.

MS. REZNIK: I believe you were beginning to answer.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Okay. Would the IGV schedule with the ECS have anything to do with the flight testing of the APS 3200?

A During the flight test, the APU performance ECS minimum demand would have been evaluated.

Q So that means yes, the IGV schedule that ECS may demand would have been evaluated in the course of flight testing for the APS 3200?

A From a study test performance point of view, yes, not a transient issue.

Q Okay. You've just been handed what's been marked Exhibit 245, Bates numbers HSA 226334 through HSA 226339. Do you have those in front of you?

A I have a document with those HSA numbers on the front and back page; yes.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 245 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Do you see it is some sort of a handwritten memo by Mr. Maedche?

409

A "So the question becomes why is the control logic faked out or trying to go open to load when we are certain the aircraft has dead-headed the bleed duct?"

Q You can skip ahead to the sentence that begins with "I recommend." Can you read that? It's at the end of the paragraph.

A "I recommend making the load compressor surge and immediately after open all downstream restriction e.g. this will be an attempt to set up the high flow condition and see if the B factor gets lost after a surge."

Q So here Mr. Maedche is making recommendations regarding the load compressor surge in the APS 3200?

A To read his words exactly, "I recommend making the load compressor surge" is a meaningless statement. It needed some more nouns after that for it to be of value. "I recommend making the load compressor surge."

Q Okay. Let me rephrase it, then. It's clear that Mr. Maedche was making a recommendation about testing and evaluating the load compressor; is that correct?

A I apologize. Can you read the question? I'm trying to understand what he said.

(Record read.)

THE WITNESS: It appears that he was

411

A Yes.

Q What's the subject of this memo?

A BCV cycling problem.

Q What is the BCV cycling problem referring to?

A I would need to read it. BCV cycling is when it moves and moves again and moves again in a cycling movement.

Q The BCV refers to the bleed control valve?

A Correct.

Q And this would be the bleed control valve on the APS 3200?

A Yes.

Q Starting in the middle of the paragraph with "Subsequently," do you see that sentence?

A Yes.

Q Could you read that sentence?

A "Subsequently, the control logic either goes on control or open to load, depending on the state of the B factor and delta P/P."

Q So here Mr. Maedche is discussing the control logic on the APS 3200 relating to this B factor and delta P/P?

A Yes.

Q He then asks a question after that. Can you read that?

410

recommending something, though. As I say, I don't really understand "making the load compressor surge." Okay? That's an event. If I can turn around and look at it in a different way, "and immediately after open all downstream restriction," that's not something that we had control over. "This will be an attempt to set up the high flow condition and see if the B factor gets lost."

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q So what kind of recommendation was Mr. Maedche making to you in this memo?

A I understand this to be -- he was recommending running a test. Actually the part -- the tailcone implies that that's where he was thinking we should do this test, to try and recreate the situation which had been occurring and see if the B factor, which we talked about before, functioned adequately or not.

Q So these recommendations are directed at the testing of the bleed control valve of the APS 3200; correct?

A No. He's recommending doing a system-wide test and measuring certain data during that test.

Q But the subject of the memo is a bleed control valve cycling problem; correct?

A That's the subject, yes.

412

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 Q And the tests he's recommending include making
2 the load compressor surge; correct?
3 A Apparently that's what he was suggesting.
4 Q So, then, his testing recommendations relate to
5 load compressor surge and the bleed control valve of the
6 APS 3200; correct?
7 A Can I read the whole thing? I missed certain
8 parts. You only had me read certain sections, so I
9 don't know what the other parts say.
10 Q Sure.
11 A This was his recommendation: Setting up a test
12 that we could do at sea level on our tailcone test
13 fixture to try and reproduce this phenomenon which had
14 occurred at 20,000 feet, and that phenomenon was bleed
15 control valve cycling.
16 Q This memo also specifically refers to control
17 logic depending on the state of the B factor to delta
18 P/P; correct?
19 A It's not B factor "to" delta P/P. It's "and."
20 Q That's an and?
21 A That's an and.
22 Q This memo specifically refers to control logic
23 depending on the state of the B factor and delta P/P;
24 correct?
25 A Yes.

413

1 Q This is information being provided to you from
2 Mr. Maedche, correct, relating to the B factor and delta
3 P/P; correct?
4 A This was at a time he was in Toulouse. He was
5 getting the data in European time and analyzing it and
6 writing memos back to us based on his review of the
7 flight test data. So I think the answer to your
8 question is yes. I'm just adding that's why he was
9 doing this, because of his geographical location at the
10 time.
11 Q And this information provided relates to the
12 APS 3200; correct?
13 A Yes.
14 Q The court reporter just handed you what's been
15 marked as Exhibit 246. It's a memo with Bates range
16 numbers HSA 226464 through 226472. Do you have this
17 document in front of you, Mr. Suttie?
18 A Yes. I have a document with those Bates
19 numbers on the front and back page.
20 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 246 was marked for
21 identification by the court reporter.)
22 BY MS. REZNIK:
23 Q And the subject of this memo is APS 3200
24 software version 0.1.5; correct?
25 A Correct.

414

1 Q It's dated January 11, 1993; correct?
2 A Correct.
3 Q If you turn to 226468, you'll see a diagram;
4 correct?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And this diagram depicts a load compressor
7 surge control; correct?
8 A It depicts a control logic where the input is
9 delta P on P and the output is LDCMD.
10 Q The title of this diagram is under "APS 3200
11 Qualification Software 0.1.5 Load Compressor Surge
12 Control"?
13 A Yes.
14 Q So it's fair to say this is depicting the
15 control logic for the load compressor surge control in
16 this software version?
17 A Yes.
18 Q If you look at the front of this memo again,
19 you'll see that it's copied to Mr. Maedche; correct?
20 A Yes.
21 Q So Mr. Maedche was provided information on the
22 software version 0.1.5 along with this diagram of the
23 load compressor surge control; correct?
24 A Yes.
25 Q Was Mr. Maedche involved in this software

415

1 version?
2 MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.
3 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "involved"?
4 BY MS. REZNIK:
5 Q Did he have any role in the design or
6 development of this particular software version?
7 A This particular version, I don't recall.
8 Q Did he have any role in any software version of
9 the APS 3200 that you can recall?
10 MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.
11 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "role"?
12 BY MS. REZNIK:
13 Q Did he make any contribution to the software
14 version at all?
15 A We discussed already that he tested some
16 version of software. He made statements on their
17 effectiveness.
18 Q So, then, that would be yes, he was involved in
19 the development of certain software versions; correct?
20 MR. MCCracken: Objection; ambiguous and vague.
21 THE WITNESS: I can't say whether he input
22 anything which caused those versions of software to be
23 different in any way.
24 BY MS. REZNIK:
25 Q You can't say that?

416

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

A I can't say that. He may have. I did not, in my research of this, find any evidence where he had done that.

Q You are saying you don't know for sure whether or not he may have had an input into certain software versions?

A He was a control systems engineer working on the program at a late stage. As you can see, pretty much of the development had already been done. As we established early, he was only recently with us at this point in time. I worked with him. He was -- it's my recollection that he did have input to some version of software, but I can't be specific as to what they were -- the version of software, nor the specific input that he may have had.

Q Can you tell me the type of input he would have had in the software versions?

MR. MCCracken: Objection; speculative.

THE WITNESS: No, I can't.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q And you are unable to do that because you just simply don't know what those inputs would have been; is that correct?

A Correct.

MS. REZNIK: For the record, I'd just like to

417

MR. MCCracken: Objection; asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: My research to date shows the input that I found that he documented, that he had comments he had made, testing that he had witnessed, but I did not find any specific changes in software that were made by him.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q But, again, the corporation can't be sure about any specific changes that Mr. Maedche may have made?

A We didn't find any evidence.

Q Is that yes, you are not aware of any specifics -- well, let me rephrase it. The corporation didn't find any evidence -- you said that the corporation didn't have any evidence. Does that mean the corporation believes he did not have any input into any software version of the APS 3200? "He" meaning Mr. Maedche.

MR. MCCracken: Objection; asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: In my research, I could not find any evidence of events in which he had made changes to various software versions.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q But you earlier said that he may have made some input. You just weren't sure about the specifics; correct?

418

I say that I think the topics of the 30(b)(6) deposition notice specifically do require him to have the answers to those questions, and I don't believe he has those answers.

MR. MCCracken: I believe that he has researched them to the best of his ability, and he's answering them to the full extent of his cooperation and knowledge.

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q So it's the corporation's testimony that it has no idea what the input Mr. Maedche would have had or may have had in these software versions is? Mr. Suttie, that's a question directed at you, I guess.

A In my research of what he has done, I didn't find specifics as to any version of software he may have had input to, or specifically if he had any input, on what that might have been.

Q So it's your testimony Sundstrand can't tell us any specifics about what Mr. Maedche may have worked on with respect to software versions in the control system of the APS 3200; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q But you can tell me that you know that he did have input into some software version of the APS 3200 control system; is that correct?

418

A He may have. I'm speaking from my own recollection.

MR. MCCracken: Do you need a short break, or would you like to go on?

THE WITNESS: Sure.

MS. REZNIK: Let's take a short break.

(Lunch recess taken from 12:11 p.m. to 1:15 p.m.)

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q The court reporter just handed you what's been marked Exhibit 247. It's a memo with Bates numbers HSA 351507 through 514. Do you have that document in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

A Yes.

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 247 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

BY MS. REZNIK:

Q Okay. Now, this is a memo from Ed Edelman regarding the software version 1.0.1 definition; correct?

A Correct.

Q That's dated April 5th, 1993; correct?

A Correct.

Q Within this software version definition document, there is a diagram relating to load compressor

420

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

1 surge control; correct?

2 A Page 3 of 4, yes.

3 Q Do you see Mr. Maedche is copied on this
4 document?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Can you tell me whether or not Mr. Maedche made
7 any contributions to the software version 1.0.1
8 definition in this memo?

9 A No. I don't think he did. This memo was
10 written by Ed Edelman. If you see on the bottom of each
11 of the pages of the functional diagram, it's prepared by
12 Ed Edelman and approved by Kourosh and myself. So there
13 is no evidence that Terry Maedche had any input to this
14 software.

15 Q So it's your testimony that Mr. Maedche did, in
16 fact, have no input into this software version; is that
17 correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Okay. The court reporter just handed you
20 what's been marked as Exhibit 248. It's a handwritten
21 memo, Bates numbers HSA 351526 through 351527. Do you
22 have this in front of you?

23 A Yes.

24 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 248 was marked for
25 identification by the court reporter.)

421

1 A Yes.

2 Q And the PID box refers to any derivative
3 control?

4 A Correct.

5 Q And the software that it's describing, it's
6 related to the APS 3200; correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Do you know why Mr. Maedche was copied on this
9 document -- or not copied, rather the recipient of this
10 document?

11 A He was one of a group of five recipients. As I
12 mentioned earlier, it was our practice to provide
13 information to all those associated with the program so
14 they could have it, if they have the ability or the
15 information to do so. So Steve Lampe was manager of
16 the -- of the department, Kourosh Mehr-Ayin was the lead
17 engineer, Terry Maedche was the systems engineer, and
18 Mike Juett was the software engineer.

19 Q We've looked at other documents that list a
20 huge list of people copied on documents; correct?

21 A The majority of documents we looked at today
22 had about five recipients.

23 Q There are a few, and we can go back.

24 A There were a few with longer --

25 Q Longer lists. Would you agree with that?

423

1 BY MS. REZNIK:

2 Q Now, this is a memo from Edelman; correct?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Mr. Maedche is among the recipients of this
5 memo; correct?

6 A Correct.

7 Q Within this memo it describes software limits
8 on the BCV command; correct?

9 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, please.

10 (Record read.)

11 THE WITNESS: Yes.

12 BY MS. REZNIK:

13 Q And it also describes what was coded into the
14 software in one of these -- or many of these diagrams on
15 the page; correct?

16 A Apparently, yes.

17 Q And part of that diagram includes delta P/P
18 leading into a box called PID; correct -- leading
19 ultimately into a box called PID; correct?

20 A Which part are you pointing to? There is a
1 delta P on P setpoint -- measured delta P on P leading
2 to a box called PID; yes.

3 Q So this diagram is showing a delta P/P setpoint
4 comparative to delta P/P actual measurements that's then
5 sunk and then brought into this PID box; correct?

422

1 A There were a few with longer lists.

2 Q And those people were copied personally because
3 they were, like you said, involved in this program of
4 the APS 3200 control system; is that correct?

5 A I'm not sure exactly which one you are
6 referring to right now. If you are talking about the
7 longer lists, then I need to look at the specifics.

8 Q Well, let me rephrase the question, actually.
9 Would you agree that this list of five recipients is a
10 subset of a larger group of individuals -- part of the
11 APS 3200 control system program?

12 A No. I would say this is the -- this is -- this
13 is the list of people who -- who are part. There was --
14 I can't think of anybody outside of this group who this
15 kind of memo would ever be copied to.

16 Q Which group are you referring to?

17 A Steve Lampe was manager of the system. Kourosh
18 was the assistant engineering -- the lead engineer for
19 the program. Terry Maedche was a systems engineer on
20 the program, and I was the control systems project
21 engineer at this time, and Edelman, the writer, was the
22 systems engineer. This is the systems department pretty
23 much, and another receiver of that information is the
24 software -- the other people who actually have to code
25 the requirements to define the system. That was Mike

424

Peter Suttie, Vol 3

10/24/00

Juett, who is the software engineer.

Q Describe for me what this group of systems -- excuse me. Let me rephrase it. So these individuals were all part of the systems control group; is that correct?

A Yes. With the exception that I was a project engineer; not actually a part of that department, but a control systems project engineer. It was my responsibility that the control system was developed on time, and that the requirements -- as I said, the software engineer, who is -- excuse me -- receiver of this information. You'll see at the bottom, the second to last line text, "The following should have been coded." That's why -- this is Ed's suggestion that the software department should change the way --

Q So this group of individuals -- Steve Lampe, Kourosh Mehr-Ayin, Terry Maedche, Pete Suttie, being yourself -- were the sole individuals that were -- that would make up the control group relating to the APS 3200?

A Yes. And even though there is no date on this, it's at that time. As time changed, people came and went from the group.

Q And it was the job of the control group of the APS 3200 to design and develop the control logic for

425

1 A Correct.

2 Q Can you tell me whether or not Mr. Maedche had any input into software version 1.0 of the APS 3200?

3 A I believe he did not. This was a document written by Ed Edelman. The format we've seen in some of your previous documents was Ed Edelman's format. So I find no evidence to suggest that Terry Maedche had any input to this version of software.

4 Q Outside of the document in front of you, which has been marked Exhibit 249, you've seen no evidence that Mr. Maedche would have had input into software version 1.0?

5 A That's what I have found in the research that we have done.

6 Q The court reporter just handed you what's been marked Exhibit 250. It's a memo with Bates numbers HSA 351536 through 538. Do you have that in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

7 A 351536 through 351538, yes.

8 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 250 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

9 BY MS. REZNIK:

10 Q This is a memo regarding APS 3200 software version 1.01; is that correct?

11 A Yes.

427

1 that APU, including the surge control system; is that correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And Terry Maedche was one of four individuals who made up that group?

4 A Yeah. He was the most junior member of that group.

5 Q The court reporter just handed you what's been marked Exhibit 249. It's a memo with Bates numbers HSA 351528 through 351535. Do you have that in front of you, Mr. Suttie?

6 A Yes.

7 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 249 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

8 BY MS. REZNIK:

9 Q And this memo is regarding the APS 3200 software version 1.0; correct?

10 A Correct.

11 Q And it's dated March 23rd, 1993; correct?

12 A Correct.

13 Q This software version includes a diagram for load compressor surge control; correct?

14 A Correct.

15 Q You see at the front of this memo Mr. Maedche was copied on this document; correct?

426

1 Q And it's dated March 4, 1993; correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Who is the author of this memo?

4 A Terry Maedche.

5 Q And it says in this memo that it's attaching certain pages from ESR 0677.

6 A Yes.

7 Q V0677 refers to the ECB specifications for the APS 3200; correct?

8 A Correct.

9 Q And if you read the rest of the first sentence of that memo -- can you read that for me?

10 A "Attached are pages 50 and 119 from ESR 0677, these pages reflect the software changes to be included in the forthcoming software version 1.0.1."

11 Q If you turn to the next page, Figure 10 describes a load compressor closed loop surge control; correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q The right-hand corner indicates the change just referred to on the first page, correct, change SRGSPL is .19?

14 A Correct.

15 Q So based on this document, it appears that Mr. Maedche had some input into the software version of

428